Medical Decisions at the End of Life

Life: A Basic Human Right

Visit our website at www.lifetree.org

Helpful contacts...

American Life League*
P.O. Box 1350
Stafford, VA 22555;
Phone: 540-659-4171
www.all.org

LifeTree highly recommends:
"Life, Life Support, and Death"
(second edition: 2005)

Human Life Alliance **
2855 Anthony Lane S., Ste B7
Minneapolis, MN 55418
Phone: 651-484-1040
www.humanlife.org

National Association of Pro-Life Nurses **
P.O. Box 8236
Hot Springs Village, AR 71910-8236
Phone: 501-992-5905
www.nursesforlife.org

* Catholic organization
** Non-denominational

Statement from the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith

First question: Is the administration of food and water (whether by natural or artificial means) to a patient in a "vegetative state" morally obligatory except when they cannot be assimilated by the patient's body or cannot be administered to the patient without causing significant physical discomfort?

Response: Yes. The administration of food and water even by artificial means is, in principle, an ordinary and proportionate means of preserving life. It is therefore obligatory to the extent to which, and for as long as, it is shown to accomplish its proper finality, which is the hydration and nourishment of the patient. In this way suffering and death by starvation and dehydration are prevented.

Second question: When nutrition and hydration are being supplied by artificial means to a patient in a "permanent vegetative state," may they be discontinued when competent physicians judge with moral certainty that the patient will never recover consciousness?

Response: No. A patient in a "permanent vegetative state" is a person with fundamental human dignity and must, therefore, receive ordinary and proportionate care which includes, in principle, the administration of water and food even by artificial means.

The Supreme Pontiff Benedict XVI, at the Audience granted to the undersigned Cardinal Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, approved these Responses, adopted in the Ordinary Session of the Congregation, and ordered their publication.

Rome, from the Offices of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, August 1, 2007.

William Cardinal Levada
Prefect

Angelo Amato, S.D.B.
Titular Archbishop of Sila
Secretary

If I am seriously ill, unconscious, or unable to communicate, please contact a Roman Catholic priest.

Preferred Contact:

My pastor: __________________________
Phone: __________________________

Or a Catholic priest from the local parish.

My signature: __________________________
Frequently Asked Questions

What is palliative care?
Traditionally, palliative care was symptom management at life’s end. Symptoms such as nausea, shortness of breath, and pain can usually be mitigated or “palliated.” Unfortunately, some palliative care groups are now training physicians to introduce palliative care (comfort care rather than cure) very early in the diagnosis of a chronic condition or terminal illness. This trend blurs the distinction between ordinary pain control and end-of-life care (palliative care). Moreover, terminal sedation and withholding hydration (see below) are often part of the mix.

When should food and water be withheld?
Death by starvation and dehydration is painful and inhumane. Withholding food and hydration is imposed death, unless the food/water cannot be assimilated, as when death is imminent — when the patient is actively dying, and death is expected within 24-48 hours.

Nancy Valko, RN, notes: “When people are truly [actively] dying and the body's organs begin to shut down, we often see people lose their appetite and desire to drink much. This is a process that can protect a person from suffering from fluid overload at the end and the dying person remains comfortable. But this is very different from a deliberate decision to ‘fast’ to death.”

What is terminal sedation?
Not to be confused with control of physical pain, the goal of terminal sedation (TS, also known as “palliative sedation” or “total sedation”) is “to induce a state of decreased or absent awareness (unconsciousness) in order to relieve the burden of intractable suffering.” TS is controversial, and has been called a legal alternative to assisted suicide. Too often TS is used when a patient is not actively dying, and is combined with removal of food and fluids. Withholding food and water can only lead to death. To offer total irreversible sedation to a patient might convey the idea that he or she is a burden, or that his or her life is probably not worth living.

Should I sign a living will?
No. A living will grants consent to decisions in the future, without full knowledge of the medical conditions. Decisions must be made based on current information. A health care power of attorney, designating a proxy, is the preferred advance directive.

Should I be an organ donor?
Pope John Paul II’s 2001 address to the International Congress of the Transplantation Society insists there be moral certainty that death has occurred before the transplantation of any unpaired vital organ. Unfortunately, in medicine today determination of death (brain death and non-heart beating death) sets standards which are much less stringent than the Holy Father’s guidelines. Examples of organs/tissues safe to donate after true death are corneas, heart valves (but not the entire heart), bones, skin, ligaments, and tendons.

How, and why, have medical ethics changed?
Several factors have contributed to changes over the years:
1) Bioethics: Hospitals and medical schools have appointed bioethicists as ethics experts. Bioethics, as currently practiced, focuses on quality of life. Bioethics expert Dianne Irving, Ph.D., explains that whereas “traditional medical ethics focuses on the physician's duty to the individual patient, whose life and welfare are always sacrosanct,” the “focus of bioethics is fundamentally utilitarian, centered, like other utilitarian disciplines, around maximizing total human happiness.” Too often, bioethics shifts the emphasis from improving quality of life, to assessing quality of life — weighing the benefits and burdens of life itself.

2) An entrenched right-to-die belief system: The imposed deaths of Terri Schiavo in 2005, and Nancy Cruzan in 1990, were facilitated by Choice in Dying — a right to die group later called Partnership for Caring. In the ’70s and ’80s the group was called Society for the Right to Die and Concern for Dying. Before 1974, it was known as the Euthanasia Society of America. Through name changes and disputes, one focus was constant. From Supreme Court cases, to state living will laws, to physician education, the mission was to popularize the concept of forgoing life-sustaining measures. Partnership disbanded, but many of its members are now in policy-setting positions in hospice and palliative care organizations at the national level. Visit www.lifetree.org/timeline for more information.

Life Support Directions:
At admission to hospital, contact a Roman Catholic priest (see reverse side). I wish to live the lifespan given to me by God. I direct my treatments and care, including nutrition and hydration however administered, be given to protect and preserve my life. Do not hasten death. Do not take any unpaired vital organ for transplantation or any other purpose.

My signature ______________ DATE ______________
Witness 1 ______________ DATE ______________
Witness 2 ______________ DATE ______________

The card below is designed to be detached from this brochure. You and 2 witnesses should sign the card. Carry it with you always.